S. No	Name of the Item (Formulations)	Production 1981-82	Production 1982-83	Production 1983-84	Sales turn over Rs. in lakhs 1981-82	Sales turn over Rs. in lakhs 1982-83	Sales turn over Rs. in lakhs 1983-84
6	Betnesol N Nesal Drops	1.9 KL	1.8 KL	1.7 KL	8.4	8.2	8.5
7	Betnesol Injection	4.5 KL	5.6 KL	6.5 KL	204.3	245.3	288.3
8	Betnesol Tablets	108.1 MIO.	140.5 MIO.	150.8 MIO.	399.7	466.5	567.8
9	Betnesol Ointment	0.4 Tonnes	0.4 Tonnes	0.5 tonnes	4.1	4.3	4.8
10	Betnesol N EyeOintment	4.3 Tonnes	3.9 Tonnes	3.3 Tonnes	42.7	41.3	41.6

Producton of bulk drugs by Hindustan Antibiotic Limited

1769. SHRI NAND KISHORE BHATT: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) names of bulk drugs licences to the Hindustan Antibiotic Limited, licenses capacity and installed capacity of each drug alongwith the production during the last three years, year-wise;

(b) what is the original cost of plant and machinery of each project:

(c) what are the names of the items for which technology has been imported, the source and the technology fee paid for each;

(d) whether it is a fact that Hindustan Antibiotic Limited was permitted to import technology more than once and if so, what are the names of the items and the technology fee paid;

(e) whether it is also a fact that in spite of technologies obtained from abroad and also making huge investments on plant and machinery, Hindustan Antibiotic Limited has resorted to import of intermediate with the approval of Government by keeping the capacity from basic production idle; and

(f) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS AND INDUSTRY AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) to (f) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

On-the-spot verification of plant of Glaxo

1770. SHRI (MOLANA) ASRARUL HAQ: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the technical team conducted an on-the-spot verification of the installation of allegedly unauthorised plant and machinery of Glaxo, if so, when;

(b) who assessed the technology contexts of each of the drug for which letters of intent have been issued and what are the criteria observed in each process;

(c) whether it is a fact that apart from private sector units, public sector undertakings are licensed to produce Griseofluvin and Cephalexin and have installed plant and machinery; and

(d) if so, what is the justification for sanctioning the proposal of a multinational company whose activities of overcharging of prices, overproduction without permission and unauthorised installation of plant and machinery are within the knowledge of Government?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS AND INDUSTRY AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) The Technical team conducted on-the-spot inspection of plant and machinery of M/s. Glaxo Labs. in May, 1984.

(b) The letters of intent held by Glaxo for Cephalexin Griseofulvin are from the basic stage. The technology content is not required to be assessed under the 1978 Drug Policy as the letters of intent were issued after Glaxo ceased to be a FERA company.

(c) Only Indian Drugs & Pharmaceauticals Ltd. hold an Industrial Licence for the manufacture of Griseofulvin but have not produced the drug on commercial scale because of lack of suitable technology. No public sector undertaking is licensed to produce Cephalexin.

(d) Glaxo Labs. were issued letters of intent for the above two bulk drugs as per the provisions/ parameters of this Drug Policy, 1978.

Industrial Licence of Multinational Drug Manufacturers

1771. [illegible] (MOLANA) ASRARUL HAQ: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) what are the proposals submitted for Industrial licence by Glaxo, Roche, Hoechst, Abbot and Wyeth after the announcement of Drug Policy 1978 upto 31st March, 1985 indicating the names of bulk drugs, capacity applied for and the stage of manufacture, in each case;

(b) what are the drugs for which proposals were rejected; and the drugs for which proposals were recommended by Government and letters of intents/industrial licences issued, indicating the capacity approved and stage of manufacture in each case;

(c) whether it is a fact that the process of all the drugs cleared for permission have been identified as high technology;

(d) if so, whether identification was done by a group of experts or adviser-drugs only; and

(e) what are the names of the other organised units licensed to produce these drugs, indicating their licensed and installed capacity of each?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS AND INDUSTRY AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) and (b) Details of proposals submitted for industrial licence by M/s. Glaxo, Roche, Hoechst, Abbott and Wyeth after the announcement of Drug Policy, 1978 upto 31st March, 1985 together with other details are given in the enclosed Statement.

(c) and (d) Processes of all the bulk drugs cleared for licensing in respect of FERA companies have been identified as high technology either by the High Level Committee or as per the criterian laid down by the High Level Committee.

(e) Details *viz.* name and address of the undertakings, items of manufacture, capacity in respect of which industrial approvals have been issued are being published regularly by the Indian Investment Centre in their monthly news letter. Copies of this publication are available in the Parliament Library.